

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,345	04/06/2001	Jean-Claude Chermann	065691-0216 4575	
22428	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	D LARDNER		HILL, M	YRON G
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ap	oplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		9/827,345	CHERMANN ET AL.			
		kaminer	Art Unit			
	My	yron G. Hill	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communicat	on(s) filed on <u>13 Janua</u>	ary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	·					
* -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 26-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26,27,29 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/827,345

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to paper filed 13 January 2005.

This action is on claims 26, 27, 29 and 30.

Rejections Withdrawn Sequence Requirements

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2).

Applicant showed that the speciation was been amended to comply.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Application/Control Number: 09/827,345

Art Unit: 1648

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application

was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. It

appears from reading the specification that antibodies that recognize the crytic epitope

of beta 2 microglobulin are required. The specification does not provide a reproducible

method to make antibodies that will always have this specificity or point to any direction

to obtain said antibodies. Hence, It would require an undue experimentation to enable

the invention. Therefore, deposit of a reference antibody is required.

Applicants arguments are persuasive and no deposit is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim 30 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they read on a product of

nature.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Arthur et al. (Science 1992 Vol. 258, pages 1935-8) in view of Galea et

al. (Vaccine Vol 17, pages 1700- 1705, 1999 from IDS).

Applicant has amended the claims to remove these antibodies.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,345

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Devaux *et al.* (Res. Immunology 1990, from IDS) in view of Galea et al. (Vaccine Vol 17, pages 1700- 1705, 1999 from IDS).

Applicant has amended the claims to remove these antibodies.

NEW REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Applicant is requested to point to the support for the new negative limitation of antibodies B2G2.2 or B1G6 because the Examiner does not see it. Applicant points to two locations in the specification (on pages 4 and 14) as support. These locations disclose the names of the antibodies but do not indicate they are excluded.

Furthermore, the fact pattern is not commensurate in scope with *In re Johnson* because Applicant has now removed all disclosed members of the genus.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

It is noted that if Applicant amends claims to remove the newly added negative limitations, the currently withdrawn art would be reapplied and it would not be a new ground of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5 pm Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,345 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner April 4, 2005

> Supervisory patent examine Technology center 1600